arXiv:1411.6737v2 [math.CV] 5 Oct 2015

CHARACTERIZATION OF MULTIPLIER IDEAL SHEAVES WITH WEIGHTS OF LELONG NUMBER ONE

QI'AN GUAN AND XIANGYU ZHOU

Abstract. In this article, we characterize plurisubharmonic functions of Lelong number one at the origin, such that the germ of the associated multiplier ideal sheaf is nontrivial: in arbitrary complex dimension, their singularity must be the sum of a germ of smooth divisor and of a plurisubharmonic function with zero Lelong number. We also present a new proof of the related well known integrability criterion due to Skoda.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $x_0 \in \Omega$. Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on Ω. Following Nadel [15], one can define the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(u)$ to be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions f such that $|f|^2e^{-2u}$ is locally integrable. Here u is regarded as the weight of $\mathcal{I}(u)$.

In [21], when Lelong number $\nu(u,x_0) < 1$, Skoda characterized the structure of $\mathcal{I}(u)_{x_0}$:

Theorem 1.1. *([21], see also [4, 5]) If $\nu(u,x_0) < 1$, then $\mathcal{I}(u)_{x_0} = \mathcal{O}_{x_0}$.*

A natural question is: If $\nu(u,x_0) = 1$, what is the structure of $\mathcal{I}(u)_{x_0}$?

For dimension $n \geq 2$, when we choose plurisubharmonic function $u = \log|z|$, then $\nu(u,x_0) = 1$ and $\mathcal{I}(u)_{x_0} = \mathcal{O}_{x_0}$.

It is known that: if $u = \log|z_1|$ (z_1 is a coordinate function near $x_0 = (0,\cdots,0)$), then $\nu(u,x_0) = 1$ and $\mathcal{I}(u)_{x_0} = \mathcal{I}(\log|z_1|)_{x_0}$.

Considering the above examples, it is natural to ask the following

Problem 1.2. *Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $\nu(u,x_0) = 1$. Can one obtain $\mathcal{I}(u)_{x_0} = \mathcal{O}_{x_0}$ or $\mathcal{I}(u)_{x_0} = \mathcal{I}(\log|h|)_{x_0}$, where h is a defining function of a germ of regular complex hypersurface through x_0?*

When $n = 2$, Blel and Mimouni obtained the following

Theorem 1.3. [3] *Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying*
(1) *$u \in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega \setminus \{x_0\})$, where $x_0 \in \Omega$;*
(2) *$\nu(u,x_0) \leq 1$.*
Then e^{-2u} is integrable near $x_0 \in \Omega$.

When $n = 2$, Favre and Jonsson [10] used the valuative tree (see [8]) to give an affirmative answer to Problem 1.2:

Date: October 6, 2015.
Key words and phrases. L^2 extension theorem, plurisubharmonic function, Lelong number, multiplier ideal sheaf.
The authors were partially supported by NSFC.

Theorem 1.4. [10] *Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying that $\nu(u,x_0)=1$ and $(\{z|\nu(u,z)\geq 1\},x_0)$ is not a germ of regular complex hypersurface, then e^{-2u} is integrable near $x_0\in\Omega$.*

We obtain Theorem 1.4 for any dimension n by proving the following

Theorem 1.5. *(main theorem) Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $\nu(u,x_0)=1$. If $(\{z|\nu(u,z)\geq 1\},x_0)$ is not a germ of regular complex hypersurface, then e^{-2u} is integrable near $x_0\in\Omega$.*

The paper is organized as follows. In the present section, we present our main theorem (Theorem 1.5), which is a complete solution to Problem 1.2. In Section 2, we do some preparations in order to prove the main theorem. In Section 3, we give a proof of the main theorem, and present some reformulations of the theorem. In Section 4, we present another proof of the main theorem. In Section 5, we give a new proof of Theorem 1.1 (Skoda's result).

2. Some preparatory results

In this section, we recall and present some results which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

2.1. **A useful proposition.**

Inspired by the proof of Demailly's equisingular approximation theorem (see Theorem 15.3 in [5]) and using Demailly's strong openness conjecture, one can obtain the following observation:

Proposition 2.1. *Let D be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $x_0\in D$. Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on D. Then there exists a plurisubharmonic function $\tilde{u}$ on a small enough neighborhood V_{x_0} of x_0 satisfying that*

(1) *$e^{-2u}-e^{-2\tilde{u}}$ is integrable near x_0 ($\Rightarrow \mathcal{I}(u)_{x_0}=\mathcal{I}(\tilde{u})_{x_0}$);*
(2) *$\nu(\tilde{u},x_0)\leq\nu(u,x_0)$;*
(3) *$\tilde{u}\in L^\infty_{loc}(V_{x_0}\setminus A)$, where A is the analytic set $A:=\{z|\mathcal{I}(u)_z\neq\mathcal{O}_z\}$.*

Proof. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1,2,\cdots,s}$ be a local basis of $\mathcal{I}(u)_{x_0}$. It is clear that there exists a small enough neighborhood $V_1\ni x_0$, such that

(1) $\int_{V_1}|f_i|^2e^{-2u}<\infty$ holds for any $i\in\{1,\cdots,s\}$;
(2) $\{f_j\}_{j=1,2,\cdots,s}$ generates $\mathcal{I}(u)_{V_1}$.

By Demailly's strong openness conjecture which was proved in [11, 12] (see also [13]), there exists a real number $l>1$, such that

$$\int_{V_2}|f_i|^2e^{-2lu}<\infty \tag{2.1}$$

holds for any $i\in\{1,\cdots,s\}$, where $x_0\in V_2\subset\subset V_1$.

Let $v:=\frac{1}{2l}\log(\sum_{i=1}^s|f_i|^2)$, and $\tilde{u}:=\max(u,\frac{l}{l-1}v)$. It is clear that (2) and (3) hold. Then it suffices to check (1).

Let $I:=\int_{V_2}(e^{-2u}-e^{-2\tilde{u}})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I&\leq\int_{\{u<\frac{l}{l-1}v\}\cap V_2}e^{-2u}=\int_{\{u<\frac{l}{l-1}v\}\cap V_2}e^{2(l-1)u-2lu}\\ &\leq\int_{\{u<\frac{l}{l-1}v\}\cap V_2}e^{2lv-2lu}\leq\int_{V_2}e^{2lv-2lu}=\int_{V_2}\sum_{i=1}^s|f_i|^2e^{-2lu}<\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where the last inequality follows from inequality 2.1. Now (1) has been confirmed.

The proof of the present proposition is complete. □

Remark 2.2. *Assuming that $\nu(u.x_0) = 1$, and e^{-2u} is not integrable near x_0, then (1) in Proposition 2.1 shows that $\nu(\tilde{u}, x_0) \geq 1$. By (2) in Proposition 2.1, it follows that $\nu(\tilde{u}.x_0) = 1$.*

Then we obtain $\tilde{u}$ satisfying that

(1) $e^{-2u} - e^{-2\tilde{u}}$ is integrable near x_0 ($\Rightarrow e^{-2\tilde{u}}$ is not integrable near x_0);

(2) $\nu(\tilde{u}, x_0) = \nu(u, x_0)$;

(3) $\tilde{u} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(V_{x_0} \setminus A)$, where A is the analytic set $A := \{z | \mathcal{I}(u)_z \neq \mathcal{O}_z\}$.

2.2. **Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem.**

We recall the statement of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem. It will allow us to argue by induction on dimension:

Theorem 2.3. [16] *Let D be a bounded pseudo-convex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on D. Let H be an m-dimensional complex plane in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then for any holomorphic function on $H \cap D$ satisying*

$$\int_{H\cap D} |f|^2 e^{-2u} d\lambda_H < +\infty,$$

there exists a holomorphic function F on D satisfying $F|_{H\cap D} = f$, and

$$\int_D |F|^2 e^{-2u} d\lambda_n \leq C_D \int_{H\cap D} |f|^2 e^{-2u} d\lambda_H,$$

where C_D only depends on the diameter of D and m, and $d\lambda_H$ is the Lebesgue measure.

In particular, there are two consequences of Theorem 2.3 which will be used:

Remark 2.4. *Let H be a point z_3 and $f = 1$. If $u(z_3) < +\infty$, then there exists a holomorphic function F on D satisfying $F|_{z_3} = 1$, and*

$$\int_D |F|^2 e^{-2u} d\lambda_n \leq C_D e^{-2u(z_3)},$$

where C_D only depends on the diameter of D.

Let $f \equiv 1$. By Theorem 2.3 and contradiction, it follows that

Remark 2.5. *Assume that e^{-2u} is not integrable near x_0. Then for any complex plane H through x_0, $e^{-2u|_H}$ is not integrable near x_0.*

2.3. **Lelong number along a complex line.**

We recall that

Lemma 2.6. *([18], see also [6]) Let u be a negative plurisubharmonic function on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n(x_0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $\nu(u, x_0) = 1$. Then we have*

$$\liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{u(rz_2 + x_0)}{\log r} = 1,$$

for almost all z_2 in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on sphere $S(x_0, 1)(= \partial\mathbb{B}^n(x_0, 1))$.

It is known that (converse proposition of Lemma 2.6) if $\liminf_{r\to 0}\frac{u(rz_2+x_0)}{\log r}=1$ holds for almost all z_2 in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $S(x_0,1)$, then $\nu(u,x_0)=1$ ([18], see also [6]).

Proof. (proof of Lemma 2.6) By standard equivalent definitions of Lelong numbers (see [5]), it follows that

$$\liminf_{r\to 0}\frac{u(rz_2+x_0)}{\log r}\geq\liminf_{z\to 0}\frac{u(z+x_0)}{\log|z|}=\nu(u,x_0)=1. \tag{2.3}$$

Recall a well-known equivalent form of the Lelong number of u at x_0 (which can be chosen as definition of the Lelong number of u at x_0):

$$\nu(u,x_0)=\lim_{r\to 0}\frac{\mu_r(u)}{\log r}$$

where $\mu_r(u)=\frac{\int_{S(x_0,r)}udS}{Vol(S(x_0,r))}$ (see [6, 5]). Using $\nu(u,x_0)=1$, one can obtain

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\frac{1}{Vol(S(x_0,1))}\int_{S(x_0,1)}\frac{u(rz_2+x_0)}{\log r}dS(z_2)=\lim_{r\to 0}\frac{\mu_r(u)}{\log r}=1,$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{Vol(S(x_0,1))}\int_{S(x_0,1)}\liminf_{r\to 0}\frac{u(rz_2+x_0)}{\log r}dS(z_2)\leq 1,$$

(note that $\frac{u(rz_2+x_0)}{\log r}>0$). By equality 2.3, it follows that

$$\liminf_{r\to 0}\frac{u(rz_2+x_0)}{\log r}=1$$

for almost all $z_2\in S(x_0,1)$ in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $S(x_0,1)$. Then Lemma 2.6 has been proved. □

Lemma 2.6 is equivalent to the following

Remark 2.7. *([18], see also [6]) Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n(x_0,1)\subset\mathbb{C}^n$. Then $\nu(u,x_0)=c$ if and only if*

$$\nu(u|_L,x_0)=c, \tag{2.4}$$

for almost all complex line through x_0 in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$.

In fact it has been proved by Ben Messaoud and El Mir (see [1]) that the set of z_2 for which the p dimensional slice through x_0 has Lelong number larger than $\nu(u,x_0)$ is pluripolar in the corresponding Grassmannian manifold; we will not need this result here.

2.4. **Dimension of varieties along the fibres.**

Let $X:=\{z_1=\cdots=z_{n-1}=0\}$. Consider a map p from $\mathbb{C}^n\setminus X$ to $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$:

$$p(z_1,\cdots,z_n)=(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1}).$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let H be an analytic subvariety on $\mathbb{B}^n$ with dimension $n-k$, such that $H\cap X=(0,\cdots,0)$. Then the dimension of $H\cap p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})$ is $2-k$ for almost all $(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})$ in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$.*

Proof. We prove the present Lemma by contradiction: if there exists a positive measure set $A \subset \mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$, such that $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap H$ is dimension $\geq 3-k$, then the $2n-2k+2(=(2n-4)+(6-2k))$ Hausdorff measure of H is not zero, which contradicts to the complex dimension of H is $n-k$. □

It can be observed that the negligible set involved in the "almost all" conclusion is in fact an algebraic subvariety directly related to the tangent cone of H (which also has dimension $n-k$).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

In the present section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.5 and present some reformulations of the Theorem.

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.**

Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_0 = \mathbf{0} = (0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and u is negative.

We prove Theorem 1.5 by contradiction and Theorem 1.3: if not (if $(\{z|\nu(u,z) \geq 1\}, x_0)$ is dimension $(n-1)$ but not regular complex at x_0, using Siu's decomposition theorem [18], one can obtain that $\nu(u, x_0) \geq 2$ which contradicts to $\nu(u, x_0) = 1$), then there exists a plurisubharmonic function u on $\mathbb{B}^n(\mathbf{0}, 1)$ satisfying that:

(1) e^{-2u} is not integrable near $\mathbf{0}$;

(2) $\nu(u, \mathbf{0}) = 1$;

(3) there exists an analytic subvariety H with complex dimension $n-2$ near $\mathbf{0}$, such that $H \supset \{z|\nu(u,z) \geq 1\}$.

By Remark 2.2, there exists a plurisubharmonic function $\tilde{u}$ on a neighborhood V_3 of $\mathbf{0}$ such that

(1) $e^{-2\tilde{u}}$ is not integrable near $\mathbf{0}$;

(2) $\nu(\tilde{u}, \mathbf{0}) = 1$;

(3) $\tilde{u} \in L^\infty_{loc}(V_3 \setminus H)$.

Using Lemma 2.7, one can choose X (as in subsection 2.4) satisfying $\nu(\tilde{u}|_X, \mathbf{0}) = 1$. By Lemma 2.8 ($k=2$), it follows that there exists $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$, such that germ $((p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cup X) \cap H, \mathbf{0}) = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$, i.e. $(p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cup X) \cap H$ is isolated on the complex linear surfaces $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cup X$. Then it is clear that

$$\tilde{u}|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X} \in L^\infty_{loc}((p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cup X) \cap V_4 \setminus \mathbf{0}), \tag{3.1}$$

where V_4 is a small enough neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}$.

Note that

$$1 = \nu(\tilde{u}, \mathbf{0}) \leq \nu(\tilde{u}|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}, \mathbf{0}) \leq \nu(\tilde{u}|_X, \mathbf{0}) = 1,$$

then it is clear that

$$\nu(\tilde{u}|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}, \mathbf{0}) = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Using Remark 2.5 and $e^{-2\tilde{u}}$ is not integrable near $\mathbf{0}$, one can obtain that

$$e^{-2\tilde{u}|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}}$$

is not integrable near $\mathbf{0}$. Combining equalities 2.4 and 3.2, one can obtain that $\tilde{u}|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}$ satisfying:

(1) $e^{-2\tilde{u}|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}}$ is not integrable near $\mathbf{0}$;

(2) $\nu(\tilde{u}|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}, \mathbf{0}) = 1$;

(3) $\tilde{u}|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}((p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X)\cap V_4\setminus \mathbf{0})$.

Note that the existence of $\tilde{u}|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}$ contradicts Theorem 1.3 (letting $\Omega=(p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X)\cap V_4$). Theorem 1.5 is proved.

3.2. **Reformulations of Theorem 1.5.**

By Siu's decomposition theorem [18], it follows that if $(\{z|\nu(u,z)\geq 1\},x_0)$ is a germ of regular complex hypersurface, then e^{-2u} is not integrable near $x_0\in\Omega$. Theorem 1.5 can be reformulated:

Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $\nu(u,x_0)=1$. Then e^{-2u} is not integrable near $x_0\in\Omega$ if and only if $(\{z|\nu(u,z)\geq 1\},x_0)$ is a germ of regular complex hypersurface.

There is an equivalent characterization of "$(\{z|\nu(u,z)\geq 1\},x_0)$ is a germ of regular complex hypersurface":

The positive closed current dd^cu near x_0 is the sum of the current of integration on a regular complex hypersurface $(\{z|\nu(u,z)\geq 1\})$ and a current with zero Lelong number at x_0 (by Siu's decomposition theorem [18]).

Then there is another reformulation of Theorem 1.5:

Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $\nu(u,x_0)=1$. Then e^{-2u} is not integrable near $x_0\in\Omega$ if and only if dd^cu near x_0 is the sum of the current of integration on a regular complex hypersurface through x_0 and a current with zero Lelong number at x_0.

When $n=2$, the above statement has been obtained in [10].

4. Another proof of Theorem 1.5

In the present section, we present another proof of Theorem 1.5 by using Theorem 1.4 instead of using Theorem 1.3:

4.1. **Hölder inequality.**

Using the Hölder inequality and the openness conjecture, one can obtain the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $u=a_1u_1+u_2$ $(a_1>0)$ be plurisubharmominc on $\mathbb{B}^n(x_0,1)$ satisfying that*

(1) *e^{-2u_1} is integrable near x_0;*

(2) *$\nu(u_2,x_0)=a_2$;*

(3) *$a_1+a_2=1$.*

Then we have e^{-2u} is integrable near x_0.

Proof. By the openness conjecture posed by Demailly-Kollar [7] which was recently proved by Berndtsson [2], it follows that there exists $c>1$ such that e^{-2cu_1} is integrable near x_0. Using Hölder inequality, we obtain that

$$\int_U e^{-2u}\leq(\int_U e^{-2cu_1})^{\frac{a_1}{c}}(\int_U e^{-2\frac{1}{1-\frac{a_1}{c}}u_2})^{1-\frac{a_1}{c}}. \tag{4.1}$$

By Theorem 1.1, it follows that $\int_U e^{-2\frac{1}{1-\frac{a_1}{c}}u_2}$ is integrable for U small enough. Using inequality 4.1, one obtains the present Lemma. □

4.2. **Potentials of positive closed currents.**

In this subsection, we recall some well-known results (see [6]).

Lemma 4.2. *Let T be a positive closed current satisfying $T = T_1 + T_2$, where T_1 and T_2 are positive closed current on $\mathbb{B}^n$. Then there are three plurisubharmonic functions u, u_1 and u_2, satisfying $T = dd^c u$, $T_1 = dd^c u_1$ and $T_2 = dd^c u_2$, such that $u = u_1 + u_2 + v$ almost everywhere with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{B}^n$, where v is a pluriharmonic function on $\mathbb{B}^n$. Moveover, $u = u_1 + u_2 + v$ everywhere on $\mathbb{B}^n$.*

Proof. As $\mathbb{B}^n$ is a simply connected pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, then there are three plurisubharmonic functions u, u_1 and u_2, satisfying $T = dd^c u$, $T_1 = dd^c u_1$ and $T_2 = dd^c u_2$.

Note that $dd^c(u - u_1 - u_2) = 0$, then $u - u_1 - u_2$ is pluriharmonic in the sense of distributions, i.e. there exists a pluriharmonic function v such that $v = u - u_1 - u_2$ almost everywhere in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{B}^n$. Then it follows that $u = u_1 + u_2 + v$ almost everywhere in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{B}^n$. Considering the convolution, it follows that

$$u \star \rho_\varepsilon = u_1 \star \rho_\varepsilon + u_2 \star \rho_\varepsilon + v \star \rho_\varepsilon,$$

everywhere on $\mathbb{B}^n((0, \cdots, 0), 1-\varepsilon)$. Note that $u = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u \star \rho_\varepsilon$, $u_1 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_1 \star \rho_\varepsilon$, $u_2 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_2 \star \rho_\varepsilon$, $v = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} v \star \rho_\varepsilon$. Then $u = u_1 + u_2 + v$ everywhere on $\mathbb{B}^n$. □

By Siu's decomposition, we have

$$dd^c u = \sum_j \lambda_j [H_j] + \sum_{j'} \lambda_{j'} [H_{j'}] + S$$

where $\lambda_j > 0$, H_j is the analytic set through x_0 and $H_{j'}$ is the analytic set not through x_0, and S is the current satisfying that dimension of $\{\nu(u, z) \geq c\}$ is at most equal to $n - 2$.

Corollary 4.3. *There exist plurisubharmonic functions u_A, $u_{A'}$ and u_0, satisfying*

$$\sum_j \lambda_j [H_j] = dd^c u_A,$$

$$\sum_{j'} \lambda_{j'} [H_{j'}] = dd^c u_{A'}$$

and

$$S = dd^c u_0,$$

such that $u = u_A + u_{A'} + u_0 + v$ everywhere on $\mathbb{B}^n$, where $u_{A'}$ satisfying $\nu(u_{A'}, x_0) = 0$.

Remark 4.4. *As $H_{j'}$ is the analytic set not through x_0, then the dimension of $(\{\nu(u_{A'}, z) \geq c > 0\}, x_0)$ is not bigger than $n - 2$ for any c.*

4.3. **Residual part in Siu's decomposition (Situation A.1).**

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\{Y_j\}$ be a countable family of analytic sets on $\mathbb{B}^n(x_0,1)$ satisfying $dimY_j \leq n-2$. Let $H_{j'}$ be a countable family of irreducible analytic sets on $\mathbb{B}^n(x_0,1)$ satisfying $dimH_{j'} = n-1$. Then for almost all $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$, we have*

(1) *dimension of $Y_j \cap p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_n)$ is zero;*
(2) *dimension of $H_j \cap p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_n)$ is not bigger than 1.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, one can obtain the present lemma. □

Using Lemma 4.5, one can obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4.6. *Let $dd^c u := S$ be the positive closed current on $\mathbb{B}^n$ satisfying that the dimension of $(\{z|\nu(u,z) \geq c\}, x_0)$ are smaller than $n-1$ for any $c > 0$. Then the dimension of $\{z|\nu(u|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}, z) \geq c\}$ is zero for almost all $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$. Moreover, for almost all $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$, the dimension $\{z|\nu(u|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}, z) \geq c\}$ is zero for any $c > 0$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 4.5, one can obtain that for almost all $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$, the complex dimension of analytic set $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1} \cup X) \cap \{z|\nu(u,z) \geq c > 0\}$ at x_0 is zero for any given $c > 0$. □

4.4. **hypersurfaces not through x_0 (Situation A.2.1).**

In the present section, we give some properties of plurisubharmonic function u on Δ^n with zero Lelong number on any point in Δ.

Let $p_n : \Delta^n \to \Delta^{n-2}$, where $p_n(z_1, \cdots, z_n) = (z') := (z_1, \cdots, z_{n-2})$.

Lemma 4.7. *For almost all $z' \in \Delta^{n-2}$ (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on Δ^{n-2}), the level set of Lelong numbers of $u|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}$ satisfies*

$$\{z|\nu(u|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}, z) = 0\} = (\{z|\nu(u,z) = 0\} \cap p_n^{-1}(z')).$$

Remark 4.8. *It is known that $\nu(u|_H, z) \geq \nu(u,z)$ for any regular complex variety $H \subset \Delta^n$ and $z \in H$, which implies $\{z|\nu(u|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}, z) = 0\} \subseteq (\{z|\nu(u,z) = 0\} \cap p_n^{-1}(z'))$ for any $z' \in \Delta^{n-2}$. Then it suffices to prove*

$$\{z|\nu(u|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}, z) = 0\} \supseteq (\{z|\nu(u,z) = 0\} \cap p_n^{-1}(z')).$$

Proof. (proof of Proposition 4.7) By Theorem 1.1, it follows that e^{-2ku} is locally integrable on the open subset $\{z|\nu(u,z) < \frac{1}{k}\} \subset \Delta^n$.

By Fubini's Theorem, it follows that the Lebesgue measure of

$$B_k := \{z'| \int_{p_n^{-1}(z') \cap K_m} e^{-2ku|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}} = +\infty\}$$

is zero on Δ^{n-2} for any compact subset $K_m \subset \{z|\nu(u,z) < \frac{1}{k}\}$ satisfying $\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m^\circ = \{z|\nu(u,z) < \frac{1}{k}\}$.

$$\int_{p_n^{-1}(z') \cap K_m} e^{-2ku|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}} < +\infty$$

(for any m) shows that

$$\nu(u|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}, z) < \frac{2}{k} \tag{4.2}$$

for any $z' \in (\Delta^{n-2} \setminus \cup_{l=1}^{k} B_l)$ and $z \in (p_n^{-1}(\Delta^{n-2} \setminus \cup_{l=1}^{k} B_l) \cap \{z|\nu(u,z) < \frac{1}{k}\})$ (using the Skoda's result: $\nu(u|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}, z) \geq 2$ implies that $e^{-2u|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}}$ is not integrable near $z \in p_n^{-1}(z')$).

Using inequality 4.2, we obtain

$$\nu(u|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}, z) < \frac{2}{k} \tag{4.3}$$

for any $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, where $z' \in (\Delta^{n-2} \setminus \cup_{l=1}^{\infty} B_l)$ and $z \in (p_n^{-1}(\Delta^{n-2} \setminus \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k) \cap \{z|\nu(u,z) = 0\})$. Letting k go to infinity, using inequality 4.3, we obtain

$$\nu(u|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}, z) = 0$$

where $z' \in (\Delta^{n-2} \setminus \cup_{l=1}^{\infty} B_l)$ and $z \in (p_n^{-1}(\Delta^{n-2} \setminus \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k) \cap \{z|\nu(u,z) = 0\})$, i.e.

$$\{z|\nu(u|_{p_n^{-1}(z')}, z) = 0\} \supseteq (\{z|\nu(u,z) = 0\} \cap p_n^{-1}(z')),$$

for any $z' \in (\Delta^{n-2} \setminus \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k)$. Note that the Lebesgue measure of $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ is zero on Δ^{n-2}. Then we obtain the present Lemma. □

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\{Y_j\}$ be a countable family of analytic sets on $\mathbb{B}^n(x_0, 1)$ satisfying $dim Y_j \leq m-2$. Let $H_{j'}$ be a countable family of irreducible analytic sets on $\mathbb{B}^n(x_0, 1)$ satisfying $dim H_{j'} = m-1$. Let H be an $(m-1)$-dimensional irreducible analytic set on $\mathbb{B}^n(x_0, 1)$ satisfying $H \subset (\cup_j Y_j) \cup (\cup_{j'} H_{j'})$. Then there exists a j' such that $H = H_{j'}$.*

Proof. Lemma 4.9 can be proved by contradiction: if the conclusion does not hold, then the $2n-3$ dimensional Hausdorff measure of $H_{j'} \cap H$ is zero for any j'. It follows that the $2m-3$ dimensional Hausdorff measure of $H \setminus (\cup_{j'} H_{j'})$ is positive. Note that $(H \setminus (\cup_{j'} H_{j'})) \subset \cup_j Y_j$, which contradicts to the fact that $2m-3$ dimensional Hausdorff measure of Y_j is zero for any j, then Lemma 4.9 has been proved. □

Using Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.9 and combining with Lemma 4.7, one can obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4.10. *Let $dd^c u := \sum_{j'} \lambda_{j'} [H_{j'}]$ be a positive closed current on $\mathbb{B}^n$, where $H'_{j'}s$ are the hypersurfaces that do not pass through x_0. Then for any given $c > 0$ the dimension of $\{z|\nu(u|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})}, z) \geq c\}$ is zero for almost all $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$. Moreover, for almost all $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$, the dimension $\{z|\nu(u|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})}, z) \geq c\}$ is zero for any $c > 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, it follows that for almost all $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$,

$$\{z|\nu(u|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})}, z) = 0\} = (\{z|\nu(u,z) = 0\} \cap p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}))$$

holds, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \{z|\nu(u|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})}, z) \geq c\} \subseteq & (p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \setminus \{z|\nu(u,z) = 0\}) \\ = & p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap (\cup_{c>0} \{z|\nu(u,z) \geq c\}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for any $c > 0$. Using Lemma 4.5, one can obtain that for almost all $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$, the dimension of germ $(p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap \{z|\nu(u,z) \geq c > 0\}, x_0)$ is zero for any $c > 0$. By Lemma 4.9 ($m = 2$, $H = \{z|\nu(u|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})}, z) \geq c\}$, by contradiction) and inequality 4.4, it follows that the dimension of $\{z|\nu(u|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1}) \cup X}, z) \geq c\}$ at x_0 is zero (for any $c > 0$) for almost all $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$. □

4.5. **Difference of analytic subvarieties along the fibres (Situation A.2.2.1).**

Let $X := \{z_1 = \cdots = z_{n-1} = 0\}$. Consider a map p from $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus X$ to $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$:

$$p(z_1, \cdots, z_n) = (z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}).$$

By contradiction, it is not hard to obtain the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.11. *Let H_1 and H_2 be two different hypersurfaces on $\mathbb{B}^n$ satisfying $H_1 \cap X = H_2 \cap X = (0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then there exists $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ in $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$, such that $H_1 \cap p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ and $H_2 \cap p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ are different.*

4.6. **Singularity of analytic subvariety along the fibres (Situation A.2.2.2).**

Lemma 4.12. *Let p_n be the same mapping as in subsection 4.4. Let $H_0 = \{h_0 = 0\}$ (h is the defining function) be a regular complex hypersurface on Δ^n through x. Assume that $p_n(x)$ is not the critical value of $p_n|_{H_0} : H_0 \to \Delta^{n-2}$. Then $dh|_{p_n^{-1}(p_n(x))}$ is not varnishing at x.*

Proof. We prove the present Lemma by contradiction: if $dh|_{p_n^{-1}(p_n(x))}$ is not varnishing at x, then the linear space of $T_x\{h = 0\} \subset T_x\Delta^n$ contains $T_x p_n^{-1}(p_n(x)) \subset T_x\Delta^n$, i.e. $(p_n|_x)_\star T_x H_0$ is degenerate, which contradicts the fact that $p_n(x)$ is not the critical value of $p_n|_{H_0}$. □

Let $X := \{z_1 = \cdots = z_{n-1} = 0\}$. Consider a map p from $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus X$ to $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$:

$$p(z_1, \cdots, z_n) = (z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}).$$

Let $H = \{h = 0\}$ be a hypersurface on $\mathbb{B}^n$, which is singular at $(0, \cdots, 0)$, where h is the defining function of H, such the restriction dh of any component of the set of regular points in H is not varnishing identically. Assume that $X \cap H = (0, \cdots, 0)$.

Lemma 4.13. *If there exists a point $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ satisfying that $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap H_{reg}$ ($H_{reg} := \{z | dh|_z \neq 0\}$) is dimension 1, then for a positive measure of $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$, $h_{z'}(:= h|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1}) \cup X})$ satisfies:*

(1) $h_{z'}$ is singular at $\boldsymbol{0} := (0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$;

(2) dh is not varnishing identically on any open subset of $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap H$.

Remark 4.14. *It is clear that the complex dimension of $\{z | \nu(dd^2 \log |h_{z'}|, z) \geq \nu(dd^2 \log |h_{z'}|), \boldsymbol{0}\}$ is zero for a positive measure of $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$.*

Proof. (proof of Lemma 4.13) Note that $dh|_{(0,\cdots,0)}$ is varnishing, then it suffices to prove that $dh|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1}) \cap H}$ is not varnishing identically on any open subset of $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap H$.

As there exists a point $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ satisfying that $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap H_{reg}$ is dimension 1, then there exists an open subset U of $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$ contained in the image $p(H_{reg} \setminus X)$. By Sard's Theorem, it follows that Lebesgue measure of the critical value set A_{crit} of $p|_{H_{reg} \setminus X}$ is zero on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$. By Lemma 4.12, it follows that $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap H_{reg}$ is smooth for any point $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \in (U \setminus A_{crit})$. Note that $U \setminus A_{crit}$ has positive measure on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$, then we prove the present Lemma. □

Remark 4.15. *Assume that for all point* $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ *satisfying that* $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap H_{reg} \neq \emptyset$*, the complex dimension of* $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap H$ *is* 2*, i.e.* $(p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cup X) \subset H$*. Then the dimension of* $p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \cap H$ *is* 0 *for almost all* $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1})$ *in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on* $\mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$*.*

Proof of Theorem 1.5:

Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_0 = \mathbf{0} = (0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and u is negative.

Using Siu's decomposition, we have

$$dd^c u = \sum_j \lambda_j [H_j] + \sum_{j'} \lambda_{j'} [H_{j'}] + S,$$

where $\lambda_j, \lambda_{j'} > 0$, H_j is through $\mathbf{0}$, and $H_{j'}$ is not through $\mathbf{0}$ (the dimension of $\{\nu(u_0 + u_{A'}, z) \geq c\}$ at $\mathbf{0}$ is small than $n-1$ for any $c > 0$).

By Lemma 4.2, it follows that there exist plurisubharmonic functions u_A, $u_{A'}$ and u_0, such that

(1) $u = u_A + u_{A'} + u_0$;
(2) $dd^c u_A = \sum_j \lambda_j [H_j]$;
(3) $dd^c u_{A'} = \sum_{j'} \lambda_{j'} [H_{j'}]$;
(4) $dd^c u_0 = S$.

If $\{\nu(u, z) \geq 1\}$ is not a regular complex hypersurface through $\mathbf{0}$, then there are two situations:

$(A.1)$ $\nu(u_0, \mathbf{0}) > 0$;
$(A.2.)$ $\nu(u_0, \mathbf{0}) = 0$ $(\Leftrightarrow \nu(u_{A'} + u_A, \mathbf{0}) = 1)$.

By Lemma 2.6, it follows that one can assume that $\nu(u|_X, \mathbf{0}) = 1$. Then we obtain that

$$1 \leq \nu(u|_X, \mathbf{0}) \leq \nu(u|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}, \mathbf{0}) \leq \nu(u, \mathbf{0}) = 1,$$

which shows that

$$\nu(u|_{p^{-1}(z_1:\cdots:z_{n-1})\cup X}, \mathbf{0}) = 1$$

for any $(z_1 : \cdots : z_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$.

4.7. **Situation (A.1).**

By Lemma 4.1 ($u_1 = \frac{u_0}{\nu(u_0, \mathbf{0})}$, $u_2 = u_A + u_{A'}$, $a_1 = \nu(u_0, \mathbf{0})$), it suffices to prove that $u = u_0$ $(\nu(u_0, \mathbf{0}) = 1)$. Using Corollary 4.6, there exists a complex plane $V = (p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_n) \cup X)$ (dimension 2) through $\mathbf{0}$, such that dimension of $\{\nu(u|_V, z) \geq c\}$ at $\mathbf{0}$ is zero for any $c > 0$.

By Theorem 1.4 and Remark 2.5, it follows that Situation (A.1) is solved.

4.8. **Situation (A.2).**

By Lemma 4.1 ($u_1 = \frac{u_A + u_{A'}}{\nu(u_A + u_{A'}, \mathbf{0})}$, $u_2 = u_0$, $a_1 = \nu(u_A + u_{A'}, \mathbf{0})$), it suffices to consider $u_0 = 0$:

$(A.2.1)$ $\nu(u_{A'}, \mathbf{0}) > 0$;
$(A.2.2.)$ $\nu(u_{A'}, \mathbf{0}) = 0$ $(\Leftrightarrow \nu(u_A, \mathbf{0}) = 1)$

4.8.1. *Situation (A.2.1).* By Lemma 4.1 ($u_1 = \frac{u_{A'}}{\nu(u_{A'},\mathbf{0})}$, $u_2 = u_A$, $a_1 = \nu(u_{A'},\mathbf{0})$), it suffices to prove that $u = u_{A'}$ ($\nu(u_{A'},\mathbf{0}) = 1$). Using Corollary 4.10, there exists a complex plane $V = (p^{-1}(z_1 : \cdots : z_n) \cup X)$ (dimension 2) through $\mathbf{0}$, such that dimension of $\{\nu(u|_V, z) \geq c\}$ at $\mathbf{0}$ is zero for any $c > 0$.

By Theorem 1.4 and Remark 2.5, it follows that Situation (A.2.1) is solved.

4.8.2. *Situation (A.2.2).*

By Lemma 4.1 ($u_1 = \frac{u_A}{\nu(u_A,\mathbf{0})}$, $u_2 = u_{A'}$, $a_1 = \nu(u_A,\mathbf{0})$), it suffices to prove that $u = u_A$:

(A.2.2.1) there exists j_1 and j_2, such that H_{j_1} and H_{j_2} are different hypersurfaces;

(A.2.2.2) there exists only one H_j which is singular at $\mathbf{0}$, such that $\nu(\lambda_j[H_j],\mathbf{0}) = 1$.

4.8.3. *Situation (A.2.2.1).*

By Lemma 4.1($dd^c u_1 = \frac{\lambda_1[H_1]+\lambda_2[H_2]}{\nu(\lambda_1[H_1]+\lambda_2[H_2],\mathbf{0})}$, $a_1 = \nu(\lambda_1[H_1]+\lambda_2[H_2],\mathbf{0})$), it suffices to prove that $dd^c u = \lambda_1[H_1] + \lambda_2[H_2]$.

By Lemma 2.6, it follows that one can assume that $\nu(u|_X, x_0) = 1$, and $H_1 \cap X = H_2 \cap X = x_0$ by choosing neighborhood of x_0 small enough.

Using Lemma 4.11, we can obtain a point $(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$, such that $(p^{-1}(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \cup X) \cap H_{j_i}$ ($i = 1, 2.$) are different curves. Using Theorem 1.4 and Remark 2.5, then it follows that Situation (A.2.2.1) is solved.

4.8.4. *Situation (A.2.2.2).*

By Lemma 4.1($dd^c u_1 = \frac{\lambda_0[H_0]}{\nu(\lambda_0[H_0],\mathbf{0})}$, $a_1 = \nu(\lambda_0[H_0],\mathbf{0})$), it suffices to prove that $dd^c u = \lambda_0[H_0]$, which is singular at $\mathbf{0}$.

By Lemma 2.6, it follows that one can assume that $\nu(u|_X, x_0) = 1$, and $H_0 \cap X = x_0$ by choosing neighborhood of x_0 small enough.

Using Lemma 4.13 and Remark 4.15, we can obtain a point $(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$, such that $(p^{-1}(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \cup X) \cap H_j$ is a singular curve, or discrete points. Using Theorem 1.4 and Remark 2.5, then it follows that Situation (A.2.2.2) is solved.

Combining with Situations (A.1) and (A.2), Theorem 1.5 is solved.

5. A new proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give a new proof of Theorem 1.1 by using the Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem in a "dynamical manner".

5.1. Estimation of L^2 norm of holomorphic functions on unit disc.

We recall a Lemma which was used in [11, 12] to prove the strong openness conjecture:

Lemma 5.1. *(see* [11, 12]*) Let f_a be a holomorphic function on unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$, which satisfies $f|_o = 0$ and $f_a(a) = 1$ for any a, then we have*

$$\int_{\Delta_r} |f_a|^2 d\lambda_1 > C_1|a|^{-2},$$

where $a \in \Delta$ whose norm is smaller than $\frac{1}{6}$, C_1 is a positive constant independent of a and f_a.

5.2. **Using the L^2 extension theorem dynamically along the radius.**

In this subsection, we use the L^2 extension theorem dynamically along the radius and obtain the following result:

Proposition 5.2. *Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on the unit ball $B(x_0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying that e^{-2u} is not integrable near x_0. Then for any $z_2 = (z_2^1, z_2^2, \cdots, z_2^n)$ satisfying $|z_2| = 1$, we have*

$$u(rz_2 + x_0) < C_3 + \log r \tag{5.1}$$

holds for any $r < \frac{1}{4}$, where C_3 is a constant independent of r and z_2. If u is negative, then C_3 is independent of u.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case that $u < 0$.

Let H be a complex line through x_0 and $z_2 + x_0$, then $D := H \cap B(x_0, 1)$ is a unit disc. Using Remark 2.4, we obtain a holomorphic function F_{rz_2} on D satisfying $F_{rz_2}(rz_2) = 1$ and

$$\int_D |F_{rz_2}|^2 e^{-2u} d\lambda_1 \leq C_D e^{-2u(rz_2+x_0)}. \tag{5.2}$$

As $u < 0$, it follows that

$$\int_D |F_{rz_2}|^2 d\lambda_1 < \int_D |F_{rz_2}|^2 e^{-2u} d\lambda_1. \tag{5.3}$$

Using Remark 2.5, we obtain $F_{rz_2}|_{x_0} = 0$. By Lemma 5.1 ($a = rz_2$, and $f_a = F_{rz_2}|_D$), it follows that

$$C_1 |r|^{-2} < \int_D |F|^2 d\lambda_1. \tag{5.4}$$

By inequalities 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4, it follows that

$$C_1 |r|^{-2} < C_D e^{-2u(rz_2+x_0)}, \tag{5.5}$$

i.e.

$$u(rz_2 + x_0) < \log r + \frac{\log C_D - \log C_1}{2}. \tag{5.6}$$

Note that C_D and C_1 are both uniform constant, then proposition 5.2 is proved. □

By inequality 5.1, it follows that

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{u(rz_2 + x_0)}{\log r} \geq 1 \tag{5.7}$$

for any z_2, which implies

$$\nu(u|_L, x_0) \geq 1, \tag{5.8}$$

for any complex line through x_0. Then Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 5.2 (by contradiction).

References

[1] H. Ben Messaoud, H. El Mir, Opérateur de Monge-Ampère et tranchage des courants positifs fermés. (French) [Monge-Ampère operator and slicing of closed positive currents] J. Geom. Anal. 10 (2000), no. 1, 139–168.

[2] B. Berndtsson, The openness conjecture for plurisubharmonic functions, arXiv:1305.5781.

[3] M. Blel and S.K. Mimouni. Singularités et intégrabilité des fonctions plurisousharmoniques [Singularities and integrability of plurisubharmonic functions] Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 55 (2005), no. 2, 319–351.

[4] J-P. Demailly, Multiplier ideal sheaves and analytic methods in algebraic geometry. School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000), 1–148, ICTP Lect. Notes, 6, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001.

[5] J.-P. Demailly, Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2010.

[6] J.-P. Demailly, Complex analytic and differential geometry, electronically accessible at http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/ demailly/books.html.

[7] J-P. Demailly, J. Kollár, Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 34 (2001), no. 4, 525–556.

[8] C. Favre and J. Jonsson, The valuative tree. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1853. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xiv+234 pp. ISBN: 3-540-22984-1.

[9] C. Favre and M. Jonsson, Valuative analysis of planar plurisubharmonic functions, Invent. Math. 162 (2005), no. 2, 271–311.

[10] C. Favre and M. Jonsson, Valuations and multiplier ideals, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), no. 3, 655–684.

[11] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, Strong openness conjecture for plurisubharmonic functions, arXiv:1311.3781.

[12] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, Strong openness conjecture and related problems for plurisubharmonic functions, arXiv:1401.7158.

[13] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, Effectiveness of Demailly's strong openness conjecture and related problems, arXiv:1403.7247.

[14] C.O. Kiselman, Plurisubharmonic functions and potential theory in several complex variables. Development of mathematics 1950-2000, 655-714, BirkhÄauser, Basel, 2000.

[15] A. Nadel, Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature. Ann. of Math. (2) 132 (1990), no. 3, 549–596.

[16] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions, Math. Z. 195 (1987), 197–204.

[17] Nessim Sibony: Quelques problèmes de prolongement de courants en analyse complexe. (French) [Some extension problems for currents in complex analysis] Duke Math. J. 52 (1985), no. 1, 157–197.

[18] Y.T. Siu, Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed positive currents. Invent. Math. 27 (1974), 53–156.

[19] Y.T. Siu, Multiplier ideal sheaves in complex and algebraic geometry. Sci. China Ser. A 48 (2005), suppl., 1–31.

[20] Y.T. Siu, Dynamic multiplier ideal sheaves and the construction of rational curves in Fano manifolds. Complex analysis and digital geometry, 323–360, Acta Univ. Upsaliensis Skr. Uppsala Univ. C Organ. Hist., 86, Uppsala Universitet, Uppsala, 2009.

[21] H. Skoda, Sous-ensembles analytiques d'ordre fini ou infini dans $\mathbb{C}^n$, Bull. Soc. Math. France 100 (1972) 353–408.

Qi'an Guan: School of Mathematical Sciences, and Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China.

E-mail address: guanqian@amss.ac.cn

Xiangyu Zhou: Institute of Mathematics, AMSS, and Hua Loo-Keng Key Laboratory of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

E-mail address: xyzhou@math.ac.cn